



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,694	11/01/2005	Tetsushi Taguchi	052203	7280

38834 7590 02/03/2010
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW
SUITE 700
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER

GOON, SCARLETT Y

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1623

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

02/03/2010

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentmail@whda.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/527,694	Applicant(s) TAGUCHI ET AL.	
	Examiner SCARLETT GOON	Art Unit 1623	

All Participants:

(1) SCARLETT GOON.

(2) Mr. Shuji Yoshizaki, Applicants' representative.

Date of Interview: 15 January 2010

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 3:45 pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

4, 11, 15 and 16

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/SCARLETT GOON/
Examiner, Art Unit 1623

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Yoshizaki, Applicants' representative was contacted to discuss potential allowable subject matter. The Examiner indicated that dependent claim 15 would be allowable if it was amended to an independent claim that incorporated all the limitations of claim 4. The Examiner further indicated that dependent claim 16 would be allowable if it was amended to an independent claim that incorporated all the limitations of claim 11. The Examiner indicated that this amendment would overcome potential scope of enablement issues as it was unlikely that all crosslinked products obtained by the disclosed process would have a water content of 96 to 98% due to differences in the degree of crosslinking. The Examiner further indicated that the instantly claimed method step should further include reaction conditions for reacting the high-molecular weight compound with the low-molecular weight compound since it is unlikely that any concentration would result in the product disclosed therein. Mr. Yoshizaki indicated he would discuss the amendments and other issues with his client. .